

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231

	SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED AF	PPLICANT	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
07	/990.985 <u>1</u>	2/16/92 KU	ETSCHKA .	Н 3638		
				MCANDREWS JEXAMINER		
			34M1/0221	1		
EUGENE L. JOHNSON DORSEY & WHITNEY				ART U	IIT	PAPER NUMBER
2200 FIRST BANK PLACE EAST MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			1		13	
1.11	WINEHFOLIS, PI	N 33402		3403 DATE MAILE	D:	
					02/2	1 /95

	02/21/95
Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this a	pplication
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
ADVISORY ACTION	
_	1
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:	
e) is extended to run or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing of event however, will the statutory period for the response expire leter than six	date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no months from the dete of the finel rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.1 The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory is	the date of the response and elso the date for the
Appellant's Brief is due in eccordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed	nsidered with the following effect, but it is not deemed
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be enten	ed and the final rejection stands because:
 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propose presented. 	sed amendment is necessary and was not earlier
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or so	earch. (See Note).
c. They reise the issue of new matter. (See Note).	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal appeal.	by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
e. They present additional claims without cancelling e corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The stated deficiencies we fit BZ have either not be raise further indefinitence	
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if	submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling
the see elloweble eleims	47,49,50,53,55,56,58,59,61,62
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed emendment will be entered be as follows: 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed emendment will be entered be as follows:	will not be entered end the status of the claims will
Claims allowed:	
Claims objected to:	
Claims rejected:	}
However;	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration hes been considered but of	does not overcome the rejection because
 The effidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown presented. 	n good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier
The proposed drawing corrections has has not been approved by the ex-	aminer.
Other Ruled A Tale	
םוישאמת א מבסדפתים	65, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74,
RICHARD A. BERTISCH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	76 † 77

CRAILE SAN